# **Computer Science**

**Extended Essay** 

## **Research Question:**

To what extent the variation in search pattern will affect the efficiency of Rabin Karp algorithm and Boyer Moore algorithm in the terms of time complexity?

Word count: 3,767

## Contents

| Introduction :                                     | 2  |
|--|----|
| Background information :                           | 3  |
| String matching algorithms and their applications: | 3  |
| Rabin karp algorithm :                             | 4  |
| Boyer Moore algorithm :                            | 8  |
| Time complexity of the algorithms :1               | 0  |
| Hypothesis :1                                      | 1  |
| Investigation :1                                   | 2  |
| Variable used in the experiment :1                 | 4  |
| Experiment part 1 :1                               | 7  |
| Test results of the experiment part 1 :1           | 7  |
| Experiment part 2 :2                               | !1 |
| Test results of experiment part 2 :2               | 2  |
| Conclusion :                                       | 25 |
| Further scope of the investigation :2              | 26 |
| Limitations :2                                     | 26 |
| Bibliography :2                                    | 27 |
| Appendix :2  | 28 |
| Raw data collected during the experimentation :3   | 5  |
| experimentation part 1:3                           | 5  |
| experimentation part 2 :4                          | 0  |

#### Introduction :

Every time you type a search query in a search engine how does Google display you precise and needed results in milliseconds even though it owns eight data centres <sup>1</sup> around the world? Or when you type down notes, how spell checker finds errors and suggest suitable spelling suggestions for all the misspelled words even though there are a total of 171476 English words<sup>2</sup>. What happens behind the screen? Many daily processes like these use fundamental algorithms called the string matching algorithms to find one pattern from; the enormous datasets. The ideology of how major tasks rely on these simplistic and basic called strings inspired me to do this research on the efficiency of string matching algorithms. String matching algorithms are fundamental algorithms used for finding a particular pattern from a dataset.

As we grow up, the time has become a crucial factor in our hectic lifestyles and as technology proceeds to develop, the amount of data stored are expanding simultaneously so it is essential to determine the most suitable string searching algorithm with a minimal amount to average runtime for processing the data. Purpose of this investigation is to examine how the entered pattern may influence the time complexity of the two algorithms. For this investigation, I will be taking two popular string matching algorithms the Rabin Karp algorithm and BoyerMoore algorithms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Google Data Center FAQ & Locations | Data Center Knowledge." 17 Mar. 2017,

https://www.datacenterknowledge.com/archives/2017/03/16/google-data-center-faq. Accessed 9 May. 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "How many words are there in the English ... - Lexico.com." <u>https://www.lexico.com/en/explore/how-many-words-are-there-in-the-english-language</u>. Accessed 9 May. 2019.

## **Background information :**

#### String matching algorithms and their applications:

Words have performed quite a significant role in our day to day lives. Most of us do not know the immense importance of these tiny fundamental blocks of language. Humans have been using words as a communication tool for so long that no one knows when words were invented. Only imagining a world without words may sound highly disastrous. We use words everywhere and in technical terms, words can be known as a form of strings. Strings are known as a combination of characters. Characters are the alphabet, numbers, punctuation, space or symbols<sup>3</sup>. String matching algorithm also called string searching algorithm finds the occurrence of the pattern from the text and returns the position of the pattern as output.

Ever since humankind started storing the data in string format, the problem of finding the string among collective data sets became a significant issue and this led to the discovery of various string matching algorithms. The naive string search algorithm is the basic string search algorithm. We perform this algorithm in our day to day life without recognizing the name of it. If we want to find a word we usually take the pattern which we need to find and infer it through the text by comparing each string of the pattern with the text, checking if the characters match with each other. If it matches we stop inferring and if it does do match, we continue inferring through the text to find where the pattern exists. This algorithm is simple yet inefficient .so this issue further inspired inventors like J Strother Moore, Robert Stephen Boyer, Michael O. Rabin ,and Richard M. Karp to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Character Definition - TechTerms." <u>https://techterms.com/definition/character</u>. Accessed 29 May. 2019.

discover various string matching algorithms. String searching algorithms are becoming an essential part of our lives as we use it in day to day applications. String matching algorithms are used in spell checkers, in search engines, in plagiarism detection programs, in increasing field of bioinformatics for finding DNA sequences, in Digital Forensics, in information retrieval systems for text mining, and spam filters. All the string matching algorithms are divided into four types according to the way they approach the given data. They are classical algorithms, bit parallelism algorithms, suffix automata algorithms ,and hashing algorithms. For this investigation, two popular algorithms with different approaches will be chosen. from classic algorithms, Booyer Moore algorithm will be chosen as it is said to one of the oldest benchmark string searching algorithms as many variations have been developed lately using this as a base and from the hashing algorithm, Rabin Karp algorithm was chosen as it uses the powerful hash function to process.

#### Rabin karp algorithm :

Rabin karp was discovered by <sup>4</sup>Richard M. Karp and Michael O. Rabin during the year 1987. Since it uses a hashing approach to the process, a hashing function is used for calculating hash values for all the characters in the string. Each character of the text is provided with a hash value .the hash values for each character present in the text and pattern is generated with the help of a hash function. A substring is a segment of the text which is taken for comparison from the existing text. The entered pattern's hash value is compared with the hash value of the substring and if the hash values match,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "Rabin-Karp Pattern Searching Algorithm - OpenGenus IQ." <u>https://iq.opengenus.org/rabin-karp-string-pattern-searching-algorithm/</u>. Accessed 29 May. 2019.

the hash value of each individual character of the pattern and the hash value of each individual character of the substring is compared. If the hash value of the individual characters does not match, then the algorithm will slide over the text and choose a new substring which is nearby to compare. After a new substring is chosen from the text, again the whole process gets repeated. When the hash value of the individual characters of the pattern matches with the individual characters of the substring which is present in the text, then the pattern is considered to be found and the index values are returned. The use of hashing is believed to speed up the time taken for finding the match required. The more complex the hash functions, the more accurate matches will be found.

This is the hash function which will be used in this investigation:



Figure 2: the hash function formula

- d: represents the total number of characters present in the ASCII code.
- q: represents a prime number
- **h**: represents  $d^{(m-1)}$
- m: represents the pattern length
- n: represents the text length

This formula also includes rehashing was the hash values of next substring will be generated with the help of hash value of current substring and the next character in the text.

#### For example:



Assume the hash value of pattern is n and in each character comparison, the hash values of substring and pattern is compared until a match is found. Substring is denoted with the colour magenta.



During the first comparison, the hash value of substring the does not match with n so it's a mismatch. Since the mismatch takes place, next substring is taken for comparison from the sequence of text. The hash values of next substring = n, so that particular substring is taken for individual character comparison. Assume hash value of B = 13 and hash value of C = 14 and hash values of letter B in the pattern = m and hash values of letter C in the pattern=k.

First comparison:



Now m = 13 so it is proven during the first comparison, the first character of the substring is same as first character of the pattern.

Second comparison:



## k=14

During the second comparison, the k matches with hash value of C (k=14).so the match is said to be found.

#### **Boyer Moore algorithm :**

Boyer Moore algorithm was discovered by <sup>5</sup>Robert S. Boyer and J Strother Moore in the year 1977 and it is said to perform fast as the pattern length starts increasing .this algorithm uses classic approach to find the required pattern. There are two ways to approach in Boyer Moore algorithm, which are good suffix rule and bad character heuristics. In this investigation, bad character heuristics will be used.

If a character of the text mismatches with a character of the pattern, that character is called as bad character. So the algorithm compares the pattern with the text from rightmost character in the pattern ,and whenever a mismatch (bad character) is spotted, the algorithm skips alignment until either the pattern matches with the text or until the entered pattern has passed over the mismatched string in the given text.

#### For example:

A text of size 14 and a pattern of pattern length 6 were taken. Whenever a mismatch occurs, the mismatch is marked with font colour of red. All the matches are marked with green.

Since pattern was compared with the text from the rightmost character.

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3   | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7  | 8 | 9   | 10 | 11 | 12   | 13 |
|---|---|---|-----|---|---|---|----|---|-----|----|----|------|----|
| G | С | A | А   | В | С | С | F  | В | A   | Т  | С  | В    | С  |
|   |   |   | 0.0 |   | ~ |   | ** |   | 5.0 | 82 | 50 | ×. * |    |
| В | A | Т | С   | В | С | 1 |    |   |     |    |    |      |    |
|   |   |   |     |   |   |   |    |   |     |    |    |      |    |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> "DAA Boyer-Moore Algorithm - javatpoint." <u>https://www.javatpoint.com/daa-boyer-moore-algorithm</u>. Accessed 29 May. 2019.



First ,there is a mismatch occurring at position 3 and the bad character will be A. now the last occurrence of the bad character (A) will be searched in the pattern and it can be found at position 1.now the pattern will be shifted twice so that the mismatch will become a match.



Now the pattern gets compared from the rightmost character of the pattern. The first character of the pattern mismatches with the first character of the text. There is a mismatch at position 7.the mismatch character "F" does not occur in the pattern before the position seven so now the pattern shall be shifted past the position seven. After the position of the pattern is shifted, again comparison takes place from the rightmost

character if the pattern. All the characters of the pattern match with the characters of the text so the pattern is said to be found and the index value of the position found will be returned as the output.

## Time complexity of the algorithms :

In this investigation, time complexity is considered as a measure of efficiency. To find the most efficient algorithm among Rabin Karp algorithm and Boyer Moore algorithm, we will be comparing the running time of the algorithms when different variations of patterns are entered. Time complexity is the amount of time a code or an algorithm takes to run. The run time also called as execution time will be found for both the algorithms when different patterns are entered and this will be measured in nanoseconds. The best case of an algorithm occurs when a minimal amount of processing is needed due to the input being favourable to the optimal conditions of the algorithm. The worst case of an algorithm occurs when the entered input is not favourable to the optimal conditions of the algorithm and when the maximum number of processing is required.

In the following equations represents the length of the pattern and n represents the length of the text.

Time complexity of Boyer Moore algorithm<sup>6</sup>-

Best case: O(m/n)

Worst case: O(mn)

Time complexity of Rabin Karp algorithm-

Best case: O(m+n)

Worst case: O((n-m)m)

10

The worst case in Rabin karp algorithm occurs when all the individual characters of the entered pattern and all the individual characters of the text are the same, as the hash values of the pattern will be the same as the hash value of all the substrings.

## Hypothesis :

As the number of times the pattern occurs in the text increases, the number of times the algorithm needs to iterate will increase so this might cause the runtime to increase as the number of occurrence of pattern in the text increases for both the algorithms. As the position of the pattern where it can be found in the text increases, the runtime might increase because the algorithm might have to compare more number of times to find the pattern if the pattern needed is placed in the long distance from the first character and the runtime might decrease if the pattern needed is placed in short distance from the first character.

Whenever a mismatch occurs, Boyer Moore has the benefit of skipping many characters of the input pattern. So as the input pattern length increases, the length of mismatch detected will also increase. This increase in the length of mismatch pattern found will cause advantage of an increase in the number of characters that can be skipped. Which means there is only fewer numbers of strings left to be compared when compared to the earlier text? In this case, the time taken to process the data will be reduced.

Whereas Rabin Karp algorithm does not have the ability to skip strings, instead it scans every character of the given string with the text. In this case the time taken to pre-

11

process the algorithm may consume comparatively more time. So this would extend the runtime of Rabin Karp algorithm. For the second component of the experiment, due to these reasons I hypothesize Boyer Moore algorithm might outrun Rabin Karp algorithm and as the pattern length increases, the run time might increase for Rabin Karp algorithm but Boyer Moore will take less time to process the same pattern.

#### Investigation :

In pursuance of this investigation, the experimentation will be divided into two components. As the base code for both the algorithms are readily available online (mentioned in appendix), the base code will be taken for both the algorithm and it will be modified to calculate the runtime. The dataset used for both the experiments was a passage which consisted of information taken from a computer science resource website and it had 8,247 characters including space in it and has 1344 words. The text used will be put into array so the position of the pattern will be mentioned as index numbers in the upcoming explanations. To ensure the obtained runtime is highly precise, three trials will be taken for both the algorithms throughout the experimentation.

#### The runtime was calculated by the following steps:

The start time is declared once the entered pattern is constructed into an array and before the search of pattern begins. The end time is declared after the search process is done and when the occurrences of the patterns are found in the text. Then the total time is obtained by subtracting the end time with the start time.



Figure 1.1 declaration of start time and end time in Rabin karp



Figure 1.2: declaration of start time and end time in Boyer Moore algorithm

#### Variable used in the experiment :

<u>Dependent variable</u>-The runtime will be the dependent variable used throughout the experiment for both the components. It will be calculated by measuring the difference of the start time and the end time of the program. It will be measured in the unit of nano seconds.

#### Independent variable:

Independent variable is the variable which will be changed in the experimentation. Since this investigation focuses on the pattern, three factors of the pattern will be changed throughout the experimentation. They are the number of times the pattern occurs in the text, the position of the pattern in the text and the length of the pattern.

In the first component of the experimentation, the number of times a pattern occurs and the position of the pattern will be the independent variables. Since I couldn't find enough resources about whether the occurrence of a pattern multiple times in a text will affect the runtime and whether the position of the pattern will affect the runtime of the algorithms, these variables were chosen as the independent variable for the first component of the experiment. In the second component of the experiment, pattern length would be the independent variable.

## Controlled variables:

Since the running environment affects the run time, all the trials must be conducted on the same computer and multitasking must be avoided.

| Variable                     | Description                | Specifications              |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Computer and the             | I used Acer aspire Es 15   | Processor: Intel(R)         |
| operating system             | laptop and windows 10 OS   | Pentium(R) CPU N3710 @      |
|                              | was used.                  | 1.60GHz                     |
|                              |                            | RAM memory: 2.00 GB         |
|                              |                            | where 1.83 GB was           |
|                              |                            | usable.                     |
|                              |                            | System type : 64-bit        |
|                              |                            | operating system            |
|                              |                            |                             |
| The number of times the      | This was only used as a    | Since the number of times   |
| pattern occurred in the text | controlled variable in the | the pattern occurred in the |
|                              | second component of the    | text affected the runtime   |
|                              | experimentation.           | taken, all the patterns     |
|                              |                            | chosen for the second       |
|                              |                            | component was chosen in     |
|                              |                            | such a way that it occurred |
|                              |                            | only once throughout the    |

|                        |                          | entire text.            |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Same algorithm         | Same algorithm           |                         |
|                        | (mentioned in the        |                         |
|                        | appendix) used for both  |                         |
|                        | the components of the    |                         |
|                        | experimentation.         |                         |
| Same dataset           | Same data set (text)were |                         |
|                        | used for both the        |                         |
|                        | components of the        |                         |
|                        | experiment               |                         |
| Integrated development | Throughout the           | Java: 1.8.0_171; Java   |
| environment used       | experimentation, all the | HotSpot(TM) Client VM   |
|                        | programs will be run on  | 25.171-b11              |
|                        | same IDE.                | Runtime: Java(TM) SE    |
|                        |                          | Runtime Environment     |
|                        |                          | 1.8.0_171-b11           |
|                        |                          | System: Windows 10      |
|                        |                          | version 10.0 running on |
|                        |                          | x86; Cp1252; en_IN (nb) |
|                        |                          |                         |
|                        |                          |                         |

## Experiment part 1 :

The first component of the experiment will focus on how the multiple occurrence of the pattern and position of the pattern in the text might affect the runtime. First experiment will be conducted using only single words. Words were taken with the pattern of length of 2-14, which were placed in different positions in the text. Few pairs of single words were taken which had same pattern length but belonged to different positions to check whether the change in position of string will affect the running time of the algorithms.

|         |         | Number of times the | Average time/nano seconds |             |  |
|---------|---------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
|         |         | pattern was         |                           |             |  |
|         | Pattern | repeated in         |                           |             |  |
| Pattern | length  | the text            | Rabin Karp                | Boyer Moore |  |
| the     | 3       | 103                 | 7714357.33                | 45246319.7  |  |
| as      | 2       | 45                  | 5271476.33                | 4407513     |  |
| and     | 3       | 44                  | 4859098.67                | 3465778     |  |
| be      | 2       | 33                  | 4160444.67                | 3092209     |  |
| web     | 3       | 29                  | 4119374.67                | 2739551     |  |
| that    | 4       | 25                  | 4052700.7                 | 2430848.33  |  |
| use     | 3       | 23                  | 3916265                   | 2525992.67  |  |
| are     | 3       | 18                  | 3702704                   | 2106357.67  |  |

Test results of the experiment part 1 :

| information    | 11 | 11 | 3596840.67 | 1533135    |
|----------------|----|----|------------|------------|
| from           | 4  | 10 | 3356547.33 | 1824150    |
| database       | 8  | 9  | 3257663    | 1456752    |
| allows         | 6  | 8  | 3061290.33 | 1439322.33 |
| which          | 5  | 7  | 3030063    | 1589826.33 |
| standards      | 9  | 6  | 3037917.67 | 511249     |
| application    | 11 | 5  | 3085006.33 | 1236289.33 |
| might          | 5  | 4  | 2946821.67 | 1368333.33 |
| smarter        | 7  | 3  | 2857020.67 | 1243982.67 |
| international  | 13 | 2  | 2743683    | 765270     |
| authentication | 14 | 1  | 2654117.67 | 1011932.67 |

Table 1: processed data of experimentation part-1, describes the relationship between times of occurrence of the pattern in the text and the average runtime consumed by both the algorithms.

From the first part of the experiment, it was evident that the position where the pattern is placed in the text does not affect the time taken for the algorithm to find the pattern but the number of times the pattern repeats in the text did affect the time taken. As the number of times the pattern occurred in the text increased, the run time also increased. The patterns which were placed in two different positions in the text, which had the same length, had similar runtimes. In the below table, the same font colour is used to denote the set of words which had the same times of occurrence and same pattern length. Only words which had the same times of occurrence and same pattern length

are extracted from the table because this way it was easy to find and show the relationship and compare.

| number of      |              |        |            |             |         |
|----------------|--------------|--------|------------|-------------|---------|
| occurrences of |              | index  |            |             | pattern |
| the pattern    | Pattern      | number | Rabin Karp | Boyer Moore | length  |
| 1              | looked       | 1035   | 2601703.67 | 1144365.67  | 5       |
| 1              | meaningful   | 1916   | 2668151    | 1029807     | 10      |
| 1              | technology   | 2924   | 2622117    | 1048120     | 10      |
| 1              | full time    | 3117   | 2631625    | 1076363.33  | 8       |
| 1              | reliability  | 3298   | 2617330    | 1017280     | 11      |
| 1              | manipulation | 4222   | 2610970    | 1034974.33  | 12      |
| 1              | credentials  | 4395   | 2611016.33 | 1043081.67  | 11      |
| 1              | respective   | 4754   | 2689798    | 1050123     | 10      |
| 1              | function     | 5038   | 2637637.33 | 1071606.33  | 8       |
| 1              | navigational | 5561   | 2646362.33 | 1015560     | 12      |
| 1              | normal       | 7092   | 2635343    | 1166822.33  | 5       |

Table 2: processed data of experimentation part-1, describes the relationship between position of the pattern in the text and the average runtime consumed by both the algorithms.

In table For example if we take set of words like manipulation which has index number 4222-navigational which has index number 5561, And credentials which has index number 4395 and reliability which has index number 3295. Both the set of words have the same pattern length but are placed in different positions in the text but both the set of words took a similar amount of runtime.

Index length is the position of the pattern in the text and it usually starts from 0.the words in table 1 was chosen based on their pattern length and the number of times they occur because these two factors will affect the running time of the algorithms.



Graph 1 - the graph showing the relationship between times of occurrence and average runtime for Rabin Karp algorithm.



Graph 2 - the graph showing the relationship between times of occurrence and average runtime for BoyerMoore algorithm.

The graph 1 and graph 2 clearly shows that as the times of occurrence of the pattern increased, the run time also increased for both the algorithms. In graph 2, there is a drastic increase from 45 to 103 because the interval between 45 and 103 is huge. When Boyer Moore is used, even small intervals have a more noticeable change in runtime as times of occurrence increase comparing to Rabin karp algorithm. In graph 1, the change from 45 to 103 is comparatively less because even though there is change in runtime of the change in not as vast as the change in Boyer Moore.

### Experiment part 2 :

Second experiment will be done with a collection of words. After considering the results of the previous experiment, few changes were done to the variables. The position of the pattern was ignored since it did not cause major changes in the runtime. Set of words were taken for this experiment in the increasing pattern length of 30 to 102.

# Test results of experiment part 2 :

| Pattern |             |             |
|---------|-------------|-------------|
| length  | Rabin Karp  | Boyer Moore |
| 30      | 2658804.667 | 936716.6667 |
| 32      | 2665272.333 | 940072      |
| 34      | 2660616.333 | 955339.3333 |
| 36      | 2658961.333 | 942644.3333 |
| 38      | 2662373.333 | 931062.6667 |
| 40      | 2654472     | 936641.3333 |
| 42      | 2664516.333 | 927201.3333 |
| 44      | 2657593.667 | 924798.3333 |
| 46      | 2668402.333 | 923526      |
| 48      | 2654195.667 | 916860.6667 |
| 50      | 2667556     | 916292.6667 |
| 52      | 2661121     | 929743.6667 |
| 54      | 2663283.333 | 919067.6667 |
| 56      | 2664845     | 920325.6667 |
| 58      | 2656349.667 | 901712.6667 |
| 60      | 2669121     | 902219      |
| 62      | 2652258     | 902640.3333 |
| 64      | 2663879.333 | 907973.6667 |
| 68      | 2661470     | 902340      |

| 70  | 2657725     | 906037.6667 |
|-----|-------------|-------------|
| 72  | 2662532     | 902774      |
| 74  | 2661623.333 | 904874      |
| 78  | 2651833     | 902163.6667 |
| 80  | 2667393     | 902481.3333 |
| 82  | 2653533     | 900329      |
| 84  | 2659185     | 898027      |
| 86  | 2660549.667 | 898555.3333 |
| 88  | 2666174.667 | 896339      |
| 90  | 2659447.667 | 898105.6667 |
| 92  | 2664940     | 896398.6667 |
| 94  | 2677279.333 | 894998.3333 |
| 96  | 2668155.333 | 893554.6667 |
| 98  | 2661439     | 893745.6667 |
| 100 | 2662282.333 | 892130.3333 |
| 102 | 2676289     | 892408      |

Table 3: processed data of experimentation part 2, describes the relationship between

average runtime of both the algorithms and pattern length.



Graph 3 - Average runtime by pattern length graph for RabinKarp algorithm



Graph 4 - Average runtime by pattern length graph for Boyer Moore algorithm

From the results obtained from the second part of the experiment, it is understandable that as the pattern length increased, there was not much change in the runtime for RabinKarp algorithm. The minor fluctuation can be caused by processors and these fluctuations always exist even though the running environment was maintained constant for every trial, so the fluctuations seems like a change in trend in graph 3 but in real life these fluctuations are very minute and they would not cause much change in the trend . The average running time continued to fluctuate around 2600000.

The change in pattern length did affect the time taken to process when Boyer Moore algorithm was used. The time taken for execution of the program decreased as the pattern length increased as shown in the graph 4.

### **Conclusion :**

Returning to the research question "to what extent the variation in the search pattern may affect the efficiency of Rabin Karp algorithm and Boyer Moore algorithm in terms of time complexity", it was evident from the overall results that the Boyer Moore algorithm outperformed Rabin Karp algorithm in all the situations. The run time of Boyer Moore algorithm was much faster than the Rabin Karp algorithm throughout the experimentation. Half of my hypothesis was correct as pattern length increased, the runtime taken decreased for Boyer Moore algorithm. But in Rabin Karp what I hypothesized was wrong as there was no change in the trend when pattern length increased. I was clearly wrong about run time increasing as the position of the pattern in the text increases as the position of the pattern did not affect the run time for both the algorithms . Since it was well evident from my obtained results, I was right about my hypothesis of runtime increasing as the number of occurrence of pattern. Still Rabin karp is used in various plagiarism checking programs because it is said to be more suitable for the application when it comes to handling multiple patterns and also it is uses the unique hashing approach which is not used by other major algorithms. Boyer Moore algorithm can be used when it comes to handling long patterns since it takes less runtime to find the pattern as the pattern length increases.

## Further scope of the investigation :

As only two string searching algorithms of different approaches(classical approach and hashing approach) were taken in this investigation , for further investigation I want to take string searching algorithms from other two approaches (which are Suffix automata approach and Bit parallelism approach) and compare them to find the most efficient string searching algorithm with less average runtime. I also want to check whether the trend might change for different data types like binary alphabets and DNA alphabets and find the most suitable string algorithm for the different data types. Since this time only small data set was used for the text, I want to change the data set sizes and see how it would affect the runtime of the different string matching algorithms.

## Limitations :

The investigation was carefully planned so that minimal amount of error will be produced so there weren't much limitation as far as I know. As different people might use different processors and different hard wares, the runtime might be different for different computers as the processor speed might differ but I believe this would not affect the trend of relationship found between the variables.

## **Bibliography:**

<sup>1</sup>"Google Data Center FAQ & Locations | Data Center Knowledge." 17 Mar. 2017, <u>https://www.datacenterknowledge.com/archives/2017/03/16/google-data-center-faq</u>. Accessed 9 May. 2019.

"How many words are there in the English ... - Lexico.com." <u>https://www.lexico.com/en/explore/how-many-words-are-there-in-the-english-language</u>. Accessed 9 May. 2019.

"Character Definition - TechTerms." https://techterms.com/definition/character. Accessed 29 May. 2019.

"Rabin-Karp Pattern Searching Algorithm - OpenGenus IQ." <u>https://iq.opengenus.org/rabin-karp-string-pattern-searching-algorithm/</u>. Accessed 29 May. 2019.

"DAA Boyer-Moore Algorithm - javatpoint." <u>https://www.javatpoint.com/daa-boyer-moore-algorithm</u>. Accessed 29 May. 2019.

"How to calculate the running time of my program? - Stack Overflow." 6 Mar. 2011, https://stackoverflow.com/questions/5204051/how-to-calculate-the-running-time-of-my-program.

Accessed 9 May. 2019.

"Rabin-Karp Algorithm for Pattern Searching - GeeksforGeeks." <u>https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/rabin-karp-algorithm-for-pattern-searching/</u>. Accessed 12 May. 2019.

"Boyer Moore Algorithm for Pattern Searching - GeeksforGeeks." <u>https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/boyer-</u> moore-algorithm-for-pattern-searching/. Accessed 29 May. 2019.

"Option C - Web Science - cs-ib." <u>https://www.cs-ib.net/topic/C-web-science.html</u>. Accessed 12 May. 2019.

## **Appendix :**

The code for both the algorithms were taken from a website called geeksforgeeks, and the idea and code to calculate the run time<sup>7</sup> was taken from a web forum called stackoverflow.com where many people across the world share their ideas regarding queries regarding programming.

```
Coding for Rabin Karp algorithm in java :<sup>8</sup>
```

```
package rabin.karp;
 6
 7
8
   - /**
9
       *
10
       * @author Student
11
       */
\nabla
          public class rabinkarp{
13
          public static int d = 256;
14
15
          /* pat -> pattern
16
             txt -> text
17
              q -> A prime number
          */
18
19
          static void search(String pat, String txt, int q)
20 🚍
          {
21
              int M = pat.length();
22
              int N = txt.length();
23
              int i, j;
24
              int p = 0; // hash value for pattern
              int t = 0; // hash value for txt
25
              int h = 1;
26
27
              for (i = 0; i < M-1; i++)
28
                  h = (h*d) 
              for (i = 0; i < M; i++)</pre>
29
30
              -{
31
                  p = (d*p + pat.charAt(i))%q;
32
                  t = (d*t + txt.charAt(i)) 
33
              3
              for (i = 0; i <= N - M; i++)
34
35
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> "How to calculate the running time of my program? - Stack Overflow." 6 Mar. 2011, <u>https://stackoverflow.com/questions/5204051/how-to-calculate-the-running-time-of-my-program</u>. Accessed 9 May. 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> "Rabin-Karp Algorithm for Pattern Searching - GeeksforGeeks." <u>https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/rabin-karp-algorithm-for-pattern-searching/</u>. Accessed 12 May. 2019.



#### Coding for Boyer Moore algorithm in java:<sup>9</sup>

```
Start Page × BoyerMoore.java ×
 Source History 🕼 💀 - 💭 - 🔍 🥄 🖓 🖶 🕞 🌾 😓 😒 🗐 🗐 🔴 🔲 🕮 🚅
  6
         package boyer.moore;
  7
     ₽ /**
  8
        *
* @author Student
*/
  9
 10
     L
 11
 12
         public class BoyerMoore {
 13
 14
     Ę
 15
              * @param args the command line arguments
     16
               */
 17
               static int NO_OF_CHARS = 8243;
 18
               static int max (int a, int b) { return (a > b)? a: b; }
public long startTime = System.nanoTime();
 19
     -
 20
               static void badCharHeuristic( char []str, int size, int badchar[])
 21
 22 📮
               {
 23
                int i;
 24
                for (i = 0; i < NO_OF_CHARS; i++)</pre>
 25
                       badchar[i] = -1;
 26
                for (i = 0; i < size; i++)</pre>
 27
                       badchar[(int) str[i]] = i;
     L
 28
                }
 29
                static void search( char txt[], char pat[])
 30 🖵
               {
                int m = pat.length;
int n = txt.length;
 31
 32
 33
 34
                 int badchar[] = new int[NO_OF_CHARS];
 35
                 badCharHeuristic(pat, m, badchar);
                                                                                                   1.11
Start Page × BoyerMoore.java ×
Source History [양 등 - 최 · ] 적 등 문 문 다 양 등 입 입 입 @ = 1 4 =
         37
38
          while(s <= (n - m))
39
40
41
              int j = m-1;
42
             while(j >= 0 && pat[j] == txt[s+j])
43
             if (j < 0)
44
45
             {
                System.out.println("Patterns occur at shift = " + s);
46
47
48
                   += (s+m < n)? m-badchar[txt[s+m]] : 1;
49
50
             3
51
              else
52
                s += max(1, j - badchar[txt[s+j]]);
53
          3
54
55 🖵
        public static void main(String []args) {
56
            char txt[] = "The world wide web started around 1990/91 as a system of servers connected over the intern
char pat[] = "and".toCharArray();
long startTime = System.nanoTime();
57
58
59
60
            search(txt, pat);
            long endTime = System.nanoTime();
61
        long totalTime = endTime - startTime;
System.out.println ( totalTime);
62
63
64
65
        3
66
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> "Boyer Moore Algorithm for Pattern Searching - GeeksforGeeks." <u>https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/boyer-moore-algorithm-for-pattern-searching/</u>. Accessed 29 May. 2019.

#### Data set used: 10

#### The data set used was taken from the cited website.

The world wide web started around 1990/91 as a system of servers connected over the internet that deliver static documents, which are formatted as hypertext mark-up language (HTML) files, which support links to other documents, but also multimedia as graphics, video or audio. In the beginnings of the web, these documents consisted mainly of static information and text, where multimedia was added later. Some experts describe this as a read-only web, because users mostly searched and read information, while there was little user interaction or content contribution. However, the web started to evolve into the delivery of more dynamic documents, enabling user interaction or even allowing content contribution. The appearance of blogging platforms as Blogger in 1999 gives a time mark for the birth of the Web 2.0. Continuing the model from before, this would be the evolution to a read-write web. This opened new possibilities and lead to new concept as blogs, social networks or video-streaming platforms. Web 2.0 might also be looked at from the perspective of the websites themselves evolving in more dynamic and feature-rich. For instance, improved design, JavaScript and dynamic content loading could be considered Web 2.0 features. The internet and thus the World Wide Web is constantly developing and evolving into new directions and while the changes described for the Web 2.0 are clear to us today, the definition for the Web 3.0 is not definitive yet. Continuing the read to read-write description form earlier, it might be argued that the Web 3.0 would be the read-write-execute web. One interpretation of this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> "Option C - Web Science - cs-ib." <u>https://www.cs-ib.net/topic/C-web-science.html</u>. Accessed 12 May. 2019.

is that the web enables software agents to work with documents by using semantic mark-up. This allows for smarter searches and the presentation of relevant data fitting into context. This is why Web 3.0 is sometimes called the semantic executive web. It is about user input becoming more meaningful, more semantic, by users giving tags or other kinds of data to their document, that allow software agents to work with the input, e.g. to make it more searchable. The idea is to be able to better connect information that is semantically connected. However, it might also be argued that the Web 3.0 is what some people call the Internet of Things, which is basically connecting every day devices to the internet to make them smarter. In some way, this also fits the read-writeexecute model, as it allows the user to control a real life action on a device over the internet. Either way, the web keeps evolving and the following image provides a good overview and an idea where the web is heading to. However, it might also be argued that the Web 3.0 is what some people call the Internet of Things, which is basically connecting every day devices to the internet to make them smarter. It has been founded in 1946 and since then has published over 21000 international standards regarding aspects of technology and manufacturing. The members are from 163 countries including 3 368 technical bodies that help standards to be developed. In addition, the organization has over 135 people working fulltime at the central in Geneva. Experts of the same field work together to develop standards and these are settled on through a consensus process. These standards ensure safety, reliability and quality for products and services, while also providing a common denominator for different processes to communicate, e.g. for technologies. Sites that include server-side programming as well, usually to retrieve content dynamically from a database. This allows for data processing

on the server and allows for much more complex applications. In some way, this also fits the read-write-execute model, as it allows the user to control a real life action on a device over the internet. Either way, the web keeps evolving and the following image provides a good overview and an idea where the web is heading to. ISO is the International Organization of Standardization, an independent, non-governmental organization that develops and publishes international standards. Website logic that runs on the server. Common tasks include the processing of search queries, data retrieval from a database and various data manipulation tasks. Good examples are online-shops, where items are displayed based on a search query. Once the user decides to buy an item, server-side scripts check user credentials and make sure that the shop receives the order. Cookies are small files stored on a user computer. They hold data specific to a website or client and can be accessed by either the web server or the client computer. Cookies contain data values such as first-name and last-name. Once the server or client computers have read the cookie through their respective codes, the data in the cookie can be retrieved and used for a website page. Cookies are created usually when a new web page is loaded. Disabling cookies on your computer will abort the writing operation that creates cookies. However, some sites require cookies in order to function. Cookies are used to transport information from one session on a website to another. They eliminate the use of server machines with huge amounts of data storage, since cookies are more efficient and smaller. A database is an organized collection of data, which allows retrieving specific data easily based on queries. Data are usually organized in a way that allows the application to find data easily. There are different logic models of how to organize data in a database, e.g.

relational models, object models, navigational models and more. A database is access (in order to retrieve data, update them, administration) through a database management system (DBMS), such as for example MySQL, PostgreSQL, MongoDB, etc. . . . These systems usually differ in the database model that they use. XML is a flexible way to structure data and can therefore be used to store data in files or to transport data. It allows data to be easily manipulates, exported, or imported. This way, websites can also be designed independent from the data content. Example uses of XML are RSS feeds, where it is used to store data about a feed. This is a standard protocol for web servers to execute console programs (applications that run from the command line) in order to generate dynamic websites. It implements an interface for the web server (as in the software) to pass on user information, e.g. a query, to the application, which can then process it. This passing of information between the web server and the console application is called the CGI. Thanks to CGI, a variety of programming languages such as Perl, Java, C or C++ can be used, which allow for fast server-side scripting. The surface web is the part of the web that can be reached by a search engine. For this, pages need to be static and fixed, so that they can be reached through links from other sites on the surface web. They also need to be accessible without special configuration. Examples include Google, Face book, YouTube, etc. The deep web is the part of the web that is not searchable by normal search engines. Reasons for this include proprietary content that requires authentication or VPN access, e.g. private social media, emails; commercial content that is protected by pay walls, e.g. online news papers, academic research databases; personal information that is protected, e.g. bank information, health records; dynamic content. Dynamic content is usually a result of some query, where data are fetched from a database Interoperability can be defined as the ability of two or more systems or components to exchange information and to use the information that has been exchanged. In order for systems to be able to communicate they need to agree on how to proceed and for this reason standards are necessary. Lossy compression or irreversible compression is the class of data encoding methods that uses inexact approximations and partial data discarding to represent the content. These techniques are used to reduce data size for storage, handling, and transmitting content. Lossless data compression algorithms usually exploit statistical redundancy to represent data without losing any information, so that the process is reversible.

#### Raw data collected during the experimentation :

In the following tables, first row of each pattern will be the runtime taken by Rabin Karp algorithm and second row of each pattern will be the runtime taken by Boyer Moore.

#### experimentation part 1:

|         |         | number of   |         |         |         |           |
|---------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
|         |         | times the   |         |         |         |           |
|         |         | pattern was |         |         |         | Average   |
|         | pattern | repeated in |         |         |         | time/nano |
| pattern | length  | the text    | Trial 1 | Trial 2 | Trial 3 | seconds   |

| the    | 3 | 103 | 7821889   | 7723315  | 7597868  | 7714357.333 |
|--------|---|-----|-----------|----------|----------|-------------|
|        |   |     | 111197841 | 11285445 | 13255673 | 45246319.67 |
| as     | 2 | 45  | 5341719   | 5319317  | 5153393  | 5271476.333 |
|        |   |     | 4709006   | 4760364  | 3753169  | 4407513     |
| and    | 3 | 44  | 4843789   | 4889872  | 4843635  | 4859098.667 |
|        |   |     | 3421454   | 3498898  | 3476982  | 3465778     |
| be     | 2 | 33  | 4162151   | 4174952  | 4144231  | 4160444.667 |
|        |   |     | 3118894   | 3113134  | 3044599  | 3092209     |
| web    | 3 | 29  | 4163434   | 4156703  | 4037987  | 4119374.667 |
|        |   |     | 2721254   | 2762703  | 2734696  | 2739551     |
| that   | 4 | 25  | 3937810   | 4285682  | 3934610  | 4052700.667 |
|        |   |     | 2400288   | 2486848  | 2405409  | 2430848.333 |
| use    | 3 | 23  | 4295920   | 4052509  | 3400366  | 3916265     |
|        |   |     | 2573407   | 2503003  | 2501568  | 2525992.667 |
| are    | 3 | 18  | 3733793   | 3742754  | 3631565  | 3702704     |
|        |   |     | 2026993   | 2014832  | 2277248  | 2106357.667 |
| user   | 4 | 11  | 3599693   | 3541782  | 3540657  | 3560710.667 |
|        |   |     | 1839412   | 1809332  | 1830325  | 1826356.333 |
| even   | 4 | 1   | 2699827   | 2597906  | 2634371  | 2644034.667 |
|        |   |     | 1331097   | 1344539  | 1380380  | 1352005.333 |
| static | 5 | 3   | 2769436   | 3040635  | 3241915  | 3017328.667 |

|            |    |    | 1290294 | 1269014 | 1266054 | 1275120.667 |
|------------|----|----|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| mostly     | 6  | 1  | 2689585 | 2609427 | 2579345 | 2626119     |
|            |    |    | 1135728 | 1159071 | 1155873 | 1150224     |
| from       | 4  | 10 | 3358092 | 3375373 | 3336177 | 3356547.333 |
|            |    |    | 1838041 | 1834011 | 1800398 | 1824150     |
| blogs      | 5  | 1  | 2675505 | 2573585 | 2639508 | 2629532.667 |
|            |    |    | 1235578 | 1250298 | 1215395 | 1233757     |
| might      | 5  | 4  | 2941915 | 2936154 | 2962396 | 2946821.667 |
|            |    |    | 1399427 | 1378946 | 1326627 | 1368333.333 |
| looked     | 6  | 1  | 2503667 | 2601445 | 2699999 | 2601703.667 |
|            |    |    | 1168034 | 1122931 | 1142132 | 1144365.667 |
| design     | 6  | 2  | 2687540 | 2801791 | 2709900 | 2733077     |
|            |    |    | 1235573 | 1240058 | 1269413 | 1248348     |
| argued     | 6  | 3  | 2872791 | 2884950 | 2899030 | 2885590.333 |
|            |    |    | 1284706 | 1262305 | 1209182 | 1252064.333 |
| smarter    | 7  | 3  | 2854085 | 2819855 | 2897122 | 2857020.667 |
|            |    |    | 1244836 | 1244196 | 1242916 | 1243982.667 |
| meaningful | 10 | 1  | 2675504 | 2640644 | 2688305 | 2668151     |
|            |    |    | 1025801 | 1035899 | 1027721 | 1029807     |
| connect    | 7  | 5  | 2896328 | 2873287 | 2800808 | 2856807.667 |
|            |    |    | 1326758 | 1254331 | 1398088 | 1326392.333 |

| internet      | 8  | 6 | 3052652 | 3060332 | 3099207     | 3070730.333 |
|---------------|----|---|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|
|               |    |   | 1328777 | 1331738 | 1334937     | 1331817.333 |
| following     | 9  | 2 | 2757428 | 2743347 | 2751668     | 2750814.333 |
|               |    |   | 1002345 | 1102344 | 1002316     | 1035668.333 |
| connecting    | 10 | 2 | 2768866 | 2735606 | 2769507.999 | 2757993.333 |
|               |    |   | 1109005 | 1140367 | 1109645     | 1119672.333 |
| technology    | 10 | 1 | 2686384 | 2594223 | 2585744     | 2622117     |
|               |    |   | 1019400 | 1068120 | 1056840     | 1048120     |
| fulltime      | 8  | 1 | 2672884 | 2634387 | 2587604     | 2631625     |
|               |    |   | 1083404 | 1071243 | 1074443     | 1076363.333 |
| reliability   | 11 | 1 | 2580934 | 2580624 | 2690432     | 2617330     |
|               |    |   | 1015600 | 1019400 | 1016840     | 1017280     |
| application   | 11 | 5 | 3091196 | 3088635 | 3075188     | 3085006.333 |
|               |    |   | 1239573 | 1238292 | 1231003     | 1236289.333 |
| applications  | 12 | 2 | 2636947 | 2645345 | 2630392     | 2637561.333 |
|               |    |   | 1075724 | 1071243 | 1072763     | 1073243.333 |
| international | 13 | 2 | 2716951 | 2761754 | 2752344     | 2743683     |
|               |    |   | 764203  | 764843  | 766764      | 765270      |
| manipulation  | 12 | 1 | 2681264 | 2577423 | 2574223     | 2610970     |
|               |    |   | 1036681 | 1034121 | 1034121     | 1034974.333 |
| credentials   | 11 | 1 | 2610706 | 2611109 | 2611234     | 2611016.333 |

|            |    |   | 1041802 | 1044361  | 1043082 | 1043081.667 |
|------------|----|---|---------|----------|---------|-------------|
| respective | 10 | 1 | 2571664 | 2601105  | 2896625 | 2689798     |
|            |    |   | 1052043 | 1051403  | 1046923 | 1050123     |
| function   | 8  | 1 | 2581264 | 2575504  | 2576144 | 2577637.333 |
|            |    |   | 1094526 | 1060247  | 1060046 | 1071606.333 |
| session    | 7  | 1 | 2571663 | 2672944  | 2585104 | 2609903.667 |
|            |    |   | 1032046 | 1028850  | 1030126 | 1030340.667 |
| allows     | 6  | 8 | 3036969 | 3052330  | 3094572 | 3061290.333 |
|            |    |   | 1443590 | 1455750  | 1418627 | 1439322.333 |
| logic      | 5  | 2 | 2735666 | 2751027  | 2743987 | 2743560     |
|            |    |   | 1264533 | 126373   | 1261334 | 884080      |
| flexible   | 8  | 1 | 2576143 | 2571663  | 2774223 | 2640676.333 |
|            |    |   | 1066123 | 1068683  | 1067683 | 1067496.333 |
| manipulate | 10 | 1 | 2592144 | 2790225  | 2573583 | 2651984     |
|            |    |   | 1043081 | 10462817 | 1043052 | 4182983.333 |
| console    | 7  | 2 | 2820637 | 2729907  | 2635027 | 2761857     |
|            |    |   | 1074928 | 1073009  | 1073008 | 1073648.333 |
| which      | 5  | 7 | 3026883 | 3028163  | 3035143 | 3030063     |
|            |    |   | 1594946 | 1584066  | 1590467 | 1589826.333 |
| normal     | 6  | 1 | 2570383 | 2568463  | 2767183 | 2635343     |
|            |    |   | 1064689 | 1067249  | 1068529 | 1066822.333 |

| authentication | 14 | 1  | 2789585 | 2589585  | 2583183 | 2654117.667 |
|----------------|----|----|---------|----------|---------|-------------|
|                |    |    | 1014280 | 1010439  | 1011079 | 1011932.667 |
| navigational   | 12 | 1  | 2674637 | 2676146  | 2588304 | 2646362.333 |
|                |    |    | 1019400 | 1011080  | 1016200 | 1015560     |
| database       | 8  | 9  | 3283051 | 3255530  | 3234408 | 3257663     |
|                |    |    | 1466145 | 1423245  | 1480866 | 1456752     |
| system         | 6  | 5  | 3069280 | 2973275  | 2974555 | 3005703.333 |
|                |    |    | 1366299 | 1367580  | 1377180 | 1370353     |
| standards      | 9  | 6  | 3024477 | 3060319  | 3028957 | 3037917.667 |
|                |    |    | 120135  | 122695   | 1290917 | 511249      |
| communicate    | 10 | 2  | 2785589 | 2634387  | 2732466 | 2717480.667 |
|                |    |    | 1095031 | 1098806  | 1096991 | 1096942.667 |
| information    | 11 | 11 | 3554500 | 3664491  | 3571531 | 3596840.667 |
|                |    |    | 1521828 | 1525668  | 1551909 | 1533135     |
| reversible     | 10 | 2  | 2695508 | 2732588  | 2730546 | 2719547.333 |
|                |    |    | 1080843 | 71089163 | 1084043 | 24418016.33 |

## Experimentation part 2 :

|                  | Pattern |         |         |         | Average     |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Pattern taken    | length  | Trial 1 | Trial 2 | Trial 3 | runtime     |
| non-governmental | 30      | 2653481 | 2683032 | 2639901 | 2658804.667 |

| organization                   |    |         |         |         |             |
|--------------------------------|----|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
|                                |    | 934590  | 936670  | 938890  | 936716.6667 |
| he data in the cookie can be   |    |         |         |         |             |
| retrieved                      | 32 | 2616393 | 2683032 | 2696392 | 2665272.333 |
|                                |    | 938897  | 940211  | 941108  | 940072      |
| every day devices to the       |    |         |         |         |             |
| interne                        | 34 | 2638891 | 2644632 | 2698326 | 2660616.333 |
|                                |    | 934697  | 949212  | 982109  | 955339.3333 |
| where items are displayed      |    |         |         |         |             |
| based on                       | 36 | 2659807 | 2657990 | 2659087 | 2658961.333 |
|                                |    | 942338  | 940235  | 945360  | 942644.3333 |
| through a database             |    |         |         |         |             |
| management system              | 38 | 2659801 | 2660443 | 2666876 | 2662373.333 |
|                                |    | 931869  | 930211  | 931108  | 931062.6667 |
| variety of programming         |    |         |         |         |             |
| languages such as              | 40 | 2662552 | 2687672 | 2613192 | 2654472     |
|                                |    | 939974  | 930615  | 939335  | 936641.3333 |
| are usually organized in a way |    |         |         |         |             |
| that allows                    | 42 | 2651333 | 2674325 | 2667891 | 2664516.333 |
|                                |    | 928695  | 926774  | 926135  | 927201.3333 |
| since cookies are more         | 44 | 2684477 | 2626392 | 2661912 | 2657593.667 |

| efficient and smaller          |    |         |         |         |             |
|--------------------------------|----|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
|                                |    | 928055  | 923174  | 923166  | 924798.3333 |
| be used to store data in files |    |         |         |         |             |
| or to transport                | 46 | 2635661 | 2673333 | 2696213 | 2668402.333 |
|                                |    | 922689  | 923433  | 924456  | 923526      |
| where items are displayed      |    |         |         |         |             |
| based on a search query        | 48 | 2592522 | 2688153 | 2681912 | 2654195.667 |
|                                |    | 916534  | 916234  | 917814  | 916860.6667 |
| information and to use the     |    |         |         |         |             |
| information that has be        | 50 | 2682131 | 2674544 | 2645993 | 2667556     |
|                                |    | 916534  | 916344  | 916000  | 916292.6667 |
| and thus the world wide web is |    |         |         |         |             |
| constantly developing          | 52 | 2608889 | 2681118 | 2693356 | 2661121     |
|                                |    | 916375  | 936745  | 936111  | 929743.6667 |
| perspective of the websites    |    |         |         |         |             |
| themselves evolving in         | 54 | 2682341 | 2647270 | 2660239 | 2663283.333 |
|                                |    | 902427  | 924188  | 930588  | 919067.6667 |
| ndards regarding aspects of    |    |         |         |         |             |
| technology and manufacturing   | 56 | 2699909 | 2618318 | 2676308 | 2664845     |
|                                |    | 930042  | 930588  | 900347  | 920325.6667 |
| software agents to work with   | 58 | 2694639 | 2668887 | 2605523 | 2656349.667 |

| documents by using semantic    | ,<br>, |         |         |         |             |
|--------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| m                              |        |         |         |         |             |
|                                |        | 901043  | 902203  | 901892  | 901712.6667 |
| by users giving tags or other  |        |         |         |         |             |
| kinds of data to their documen | 60     | 2691279 | 2622418 | 2693666 | 2669121     |
|                                |        | 901099  | 902677  | 902881  | 902219      |
| hile there was little user     |        |         |         |         |             |
| interaction or content         |        |         |         |         |             |
| contribution                   | 62     | 2620456 | 2689919 | 2646399 | 2652258     |
|                                |        | 902427  | 901787  | 903707  | 902640.3333 |
| between the webserver and      |        |         |         |         |             |
| the console application is     |        |         |         |         |             |
| called the                     | 64     | 2653439 | 2663439 | 2674760 | 2663879.333 |
|                                |        | 903707  | 917787  | 902427  | 907973.6667 |
| of servers connected over the  |        |         |         |         |             |
| internet that deliver static   |        |         |         |         |             |
| documents                      | 68     | 2681124 | 2621123 | 2682163 | 2661470     |
|                                |        | 902543  | 901256  | 903221  | 902340      |
| eliminate the use of server    |        |         |         |         |             |
| machines with huge amounts     |        |         |         |         |             |
| of data storage                | 70     | 2683445 | 2655489 | 2634241 | 2657725     |
|                                |        | 915534  | 902345  | 900234  | 906037.6667 |

| at from the perspective of the   |    |         |         |         |             |
|----------------------------------|----|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| websites themselves evolving     |    |         |         |         |             |
| in more dynamic and feature-     |    |         |         |         |             |
| rich                             | 72 | 2590798 | 2688345 | 2708453 | 2662532     |
|                                  |    | 904967  | 903221  | 900134  | 902774      |
| are used to transport            |    |         |         |         |             |
| information from one session     |    |         |         |         |             |
| on website to another            | 74 | 2684389 | 2633040 | 2667441 | 2661623.333 |
|                                  |    | 900657  | 910023  | 903942  | 904874      |
| cookies on your computer will    |    |         |         |         |             |
| abort the writing operation that |    |         |         |         |             |
| creates cookies                  | 78 | 2607513 | 2687032 | 2660954 | 2651833     |
|                                  |    | 902533  | 902613  | 901345  | 902163.6667 |
| while also providing a common    |    |         |         |         |             |
| denominator for different        |    |         |         |         |             |
| processes to communicate         | 80 | 2633680 | 2679932 | 2688567 | 2667393     |
|                                  |    | 902113  | 903220  | 902111  | 902481.3333 |
| xed, so that they can be         |    |         |         |         |             |
| reached through links from       |    |         |         |         |             |
| other sites on the surface web   | 82 | 2696399 | 2623880 | 2640320 | 2653533     |
|                                  |    | 900231  | 900432  | 900324  | 900329      |
| structure data and can           | 84 | 2633040 | 2699398 | 2645117 | 2659185     |

| therefore be used to store data |    |         |         |         |             |
|---------------------------------|----|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| in files or to transport data   |    |         |         |         |             |
|                                 |    | 898587  | 890067  | 905427  | 898027      |
| allows for smarter searches     |    |         |         |         |             |
| and the presentation of         |    |         |         |         |             |
| relevant data fitting into      |    |         |         |         |             |
| context                         | 86 | 2638721 | 2678289 | 2664639 | 2660549.667 |
|                                 |    | 899088  | 898234  | 898344  | 898555.3333 |
| ess data compression            |    |         |         |         |             |
| algorithms usually exploit      |    |         |         |         |             |
| statistical redundancy to       |    |         |         |         |             |
| represent data                  | 88 | 2677654 | 2644326 | 2676544 | 2666174.667 |
|                                 |    | 897958  | 896592  | 894467  | 896339      |
| his allows for data processing  |    |         |         |         |             |
| on the server and allows for    |    |         |         |         |             |
| much more complex               |    |         |         |         |             |
| applications                    | 90 | 2633680 | 2788901 | 2555762 | 2659447.667 |
|                                 |    | 897889  | 898773  | 897655  | 898105.6667 |
| communicate they need to        |    |         |         |         |             |
| agree on how to proceed and     |    |         |         |         |             |
| for this reason standards are   |    |         |         |         |             |
| necessary                       | 92 | 2694352 | 2601038 | 2699430 | 2664940     |

|                                 |     | 896778  | 896890  | 895528  | 896398.6667 |
|---------------------------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| irreversible compression is     |     |         |         |         |             |
| the class of data encoding      |     |         |         |         |             |
| methods that uses inexact       |     |         |         |         |             |
| approximation                   | 94  | 2632114 | 2603821 | 2795903 | 2677279.333 |
|                                 |     | 895661  | 894333  | 895001  | 894998.3333 |
| include server-side             |     |         |         |         |             |
| programming as well, usually    |     |         |         |         |             |
| to retrieve content dynamically | ,   |         |         |         |             |
| from a database                 | 96  | 2698238 | 2615575 | 2690653 | 2668155.333 |
|                                 |     | 893999  | 892330  | 894335  | 893554.6667 |
| these documents consisted       |     |         |         |         |             |
| mainly of static information    |     |         |         |         |             |
| and text, where multimedia      |     |         |         |         |             |
| were added later                | 98  | 2643729 | 2689594 | 2650994 | 2661439     |
|                                 |     | 893465  | 893440  | 894332  | 893745.6667 |
| of the same field work together |     |         |         |         |             |
| to develop standards and        |     |         |         |         |             |
| these are settled on through a  |     |         |         |         |             |
| consensus pro                   | 100 | 2690032 | 2600075 | 2696740 | 2662282.333 |
|                                 |     | 892243  | 890224  | 893924  | 892130.3333 |
| the same field work together to | 102 | 2730075 | 2693361 | 2605431 | 2676289     |

| develop standards and these |        |        |        |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| are settled on through a    |        |        |        |        |
| consensus process           |        |        |        |        |
|                             | 893541 | 892111 | 891572 | 892408 |